

Alex Graham

Alex Graham <apg@heckfordnorton.co.uk>
to: rma90@tutamail.com ▼

 Sat, 12 Sept 2026 • 08:00

Dear Rocky

Thank you for your email.

The earliest date I can see you would be at 09:30 on Friday 18 September 2026 at my Letchworth Office.

My fees would initially be charged at £300 + VAT per hour to meet with you, complete any Legal Aid application and liaise with the Court.

If this is acceptable to you, then please let me know and I can advise as to how to deposit monies within my firm's client account.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Kind Regards,

Alex Graham (he/him)

Partner



[Visit My Page](#)

Tel: [01462 682244](tel:01462682244)

DD: [01438 363369](tel:01438363369)

Tudor House • 2 Letchmore Road, Stevenage, Herts, SG1 3HU

Partners: Helen Wilmott, Alex Graham

Associates: Angie Robertson (Senior Accounts Manager), Sophie Cooper

Consultants: Alan Taylor



We do not accept service by e-mail

Heckford Norton is authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority. SRA no: 51017

Disclaimer: This e-mail including any attachments is intended only for the addressee named above and it may contain confidential or privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient please notify the sender and note that the contents must not be disclosed to anyone else, no copies can be taken and all existing copies must be destroyed. Heckford Norton will not be liable for any error in transmission. We believe, but do not warrant that this e-mail, and any attachments, are virus-free. You should take full responsibility for virus checking.

BEWARE FRAUD – WE WILL NOT CHANGE OUR BANK ACCOUNT DETAILS. IF YOU ARE NOTIFIED OF A CHANGE PLEASE CONTACT US BY TELEPHONE IMMEDIATELY.

rma90@tutamail.com

rma90@tutamail.com

to: apg@heckfordnorton.co.uk ▼

📌 Sat, 12 Sept 2026 • 09:43

Legal aid application – Alberone – Cambridge Crown Court, 23 October

Dear Alex thank you for your prompt response I was told previously by your colleague there wouldn't be any upfront costs to apply for legal aid in the first place also I'd like to state where things lie re what judge has said

" Judge granted til Friday 23rd October not before 12pm which Judge says can be attended via video link as its only a mention - but can also let the court know what's what sooner if needed/required "

I am on Universal Credit limited capability for work related activity and also Personal Independence Payment of which proof can be sent immediately

Not to mention the crowns skeleton argument/s and mg11's and original sentencing transcript and my initial emailed application aswell as my own submissions etc (once legal aid is granted)

My understanding from your colleague was that I'd have nothing to pay upfront for yourselves to apply for legal aid itself and no work besides that application is to be carried out or done until its granted

Which brings me to my next point that the work is covered under the prior elements I believe I've already messaged you initially when I first contacted your firm covering the prerequisites but just incase I haven't here they are again

(Why legal aid applies

Several firms have declined on the basis that there is no legal aid because the matter is civil or because there is no charge. That is not the position:

5. SHPO proceedings under sections 103A, 103E and 103F Sexual Offences Act 2003 are prescribed criminal legal aid work – reg 9(n) Criminal Legal Aid (General) Regulations 2013; LAA Criminal Legal Aid Manual (July 2026), Annex I, pp.138–141.

6. Covers new order (103A), variation/discharge (103E) and interim (103F).

7. No charge, conviction or bail required.

8. Crown Court venue – application through Apply for Criminal Legal Aid.

9. Means – in receipt of benefits (passported).

10. No existing representation order – fresh application through Apply for Criminal Legal Aid required.

11. Interests of justice:

11.1 Complex law – Sexual Offences Act 2003 and Anti-social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014 (s.114 transitional provisions).

11.2 Cross-examination of a police witness required.

11.3 Liberty – breach of any resulting order carries up to 5 years' imprisonment.

11.4 Proposed conditions would make an already restricted daily life worse.

11.5 Contested proceedings, Cambridge Crown Court.)

Also I do not drive myself nor have anybody able to give me a lift so would it be possible to have either phone call or video link given that im unable to attend your offices easily utilising public transport

If in fact your are willing to apply for legal aid without an upfront cost in the first place ?

If however you are not but may perhaps know of a firm willing and/able please forward my details on or let me know

Yours Respectfully
Rocky Mark Alberone

12 Sept 2026, 08:00 by apg@heckfordnorton.co.uk:

...

Alex Graham

Alex Graham <apg@heckfordnorton.co.uk>
to: rma90@tutamail.com ▼

Sat, 12 Sept 2026 • 10:04

RE: Legal aid application – Alberone – Cambridge Crown Court, 23 October

Dear Rocky

I am afraid that's not right - I would need to meet with you and complete the relevant application.

If this is not something that you wish to pursue I would suggest reviewing the Law Society website where there is a postcode tool for solicitors that may be able to assist.

Kind Regards,

Alex Graham (he/him)

Partner

Visit My Page

Tel: 01462 682244

DD: 01438 363369

Email: apg@heckfordnorton.co.uk

Tudor House • 2 Letchmore Road, Stevenage, Herts, SG1 3HU

Partners: Helen Wilmott, Alex Graham

Associates: Angie Robertson (Senior Accounts Manager), Sophie Cooper

Consultants: Alan Taylor

We do not accept service by e-mail

Heckford Norton is authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority. SRA no: 51017

Disclaimer: This e-mail including any attachments is intended only for the addressee named above and it may contain confidential or privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient please notify the sender and note that the contents must not be disclosed to anyone else, no copies can be taken and all existing copies must be destroyed. Heckford Norton will not be liable for any error in transmission. We believe, but do not warrant that this e-mail, and any attachments, are virus-free. You should take full responsibility for virus checking.

BEWARE FRAUD – WE WILL NOT CHANGE OUR BANK ACCOUNT DETAILS. IF YOU ARE NOTIFIED OF A CHANGE PLEASE CONTACT US BY TELEPHONE IMMEDIATELY.

-----Original Message-----

From: rma90@tutamail.com <rma90@tutamail.com>

Sent: 12 September 2026 09:44

To: Alex Graham <apg@heckfordnorton.co.uk>

Subject: Legal aid application – Alberone – Cambridge Crown Court, 23 October

Dear Alex thank you for your prompt response I was told previously by your colleague there wouldn't be any upfront costs to apply for legal aid in the first place also I'd like to state where things lie re what judge has said " Judge granted til Friday 23rd October not before 12pm which Judge says can be attended via video link as its only a mention - but can also let the court know what's what sooner if needed/required "

I am on Universal Credit limited capability for work related activity and also Personal Independence Payment of which proof can be sent immediately Not to mention the crowns skeleton argument/s and mg11's and original sentencing transcript and my initial emailed application aswell as my own submissions etc (once legal aid is granted) My understanding from your colleague was that I'd have nothing to pay upfront for yourselves to apply for legal aid itself and no work besides that application is to be carried out or done until its granted Which brings me to my next point that

the work is covered under the prior elements I believe I've already messaged you initially when I first contacted your firm covering the prerequisites but just incase I haven't here they are again (Why legal aid applies Several firms have declined on the basis that there is no legal aid because the matter is civil or because there is no charge. That is not the position:

5. SHPO proceedings under sections 103A, 103E and 103F Sexual Offences Act 2003 are prescribed criminal legal aid work – reg 9(n) Criminal Legal Aid (General) Regulations 2013; LAA Criminal Legal Aid Manual (July 2026), Annex I, pp.138–141.

6. Covers new order (103A), variation/discharge (103E) and interim (103F).

7. No charge, conviction or bail required.

8. Crown Court venue – application through Apply for Criminal Legal Aid.

9. Means – in receipt of benefits (passported).

10. No existing representation order – fresh application through Apply for Criminal Legal Aid required.

11. Interests of justice:

11.1 Complex law – Sexual Offences Act 2003 and Anti-social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014 (s.114 transitional provisions).

11.2 Cross-examination of a police witness required.

11.3 Liberty – breach of any resulting order carries up to 5 years' imprisonment.

11.4 Proposed conditions would make an already restricted daily life worse.

11.5 Contested proceedings, Cambridge Crown Court.) Also I do not drive myself nor have anybody able to give me a lift so would it be possible to have either phone call or video link given that im unable to attend your offices easily utilising public transport If in fact your are willing to apply for legal aid without an upfront cost in the first place ?

If however you are not but may perhaps know of a firm willing and/able please forward my details on or let me know

Yours Respectfully Rocky Mark Alberone

12 Sept 2026, 08:00 by apg@heckfordnorton.co.uk:

...

rma90@tutamail.com

rma90@tutamail.com

to: apg@heckfordnorton.co.uk ▼

📧 Sat, 12 Sept 2026 • 11:59

RE: Legal aid application – Alberone – Cambridge Crown Court, 23 October

Dear Alex please read

Interests of justice:

1. Risk of loss of liberty – breach of any resulting order carries up to 5 years' imprisonment.

2. Substantial question of law – the order is a SOPO made 26 February 2015, ten days before SOPOs were abolished on 8 March 2015. It survives only through the transitional provisions in the Anti-social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014 and can be dealt with only under s.103E.

3. Further question of law – the Crown's application is made on the s.103A/103F form, seeking a new order and an interim order. Neither is available in the Crown Court against a person who has not been charged. The Crown's skeleton describes it as a s.103E variation. The basis of the application is therefore itself in issue.

4. Proceedings are contested – the Crown opposes discharge and cross-applies to widen the order, seeking sixteen prohibitions, several bearing no relation to the index offence.

5. The Crown has missed directions on more than one occasion. Its application is dated 25 August, against a direction of 24 August, and reached me on 28 August. Its earlier request for an extension stated I had been copied in; I had not.

6. Cross-examination of a prosecution witness required – a MOSOVO officer has provided two statements which I dispute.

7. The disputed evidence is contested in substance and in interpretation – it consists largely of characterisations and inferences drawn from historical records, including words attributed to me that I did not say and statements of mine reproduced out of context, and includes inconsistent accounts of the same event. Testing that requires cross-examination.

8. Attribution of intention, obsession and ideation is presented as professional opinion. The same behaviour was recorded differently before and after my personality disorder diagnoses, and ADHD was confirmed on 27 February 2025 as not better explained by a personality disorder.

9. Unable to state own case – I am a litigant in person with a diagnosed neurodevelopmental condition and historical personality disorder diagnoses still on record.

10. As a litigant in person I have no access to the Digital Case System, so serving evidence means physical media and recorded post at my own cost.

11. The Crown's case rests on the evidence of one officer and historical MOSOVO records. It does not rely on any new offence, breach, arrest, charge or conviction.

These are points as to why I need legal aid assistance i respectfully ask in your professional experience what are my chances of it successfully being granted under the circumstances and as I have already contacted multiple firms from method you suggested to no avail I also respectfully ask is it worth focusing on a potential legal aid avenue or should I focus all my attention for good or ill on attempting to deal with all this myself

Respectfully
Rocky Mark Alberone

12 Sept 2026, 10:04 by apg@heckfordnorton.co.uk:

...